Michael K. Jeanes, Clerk of Court \*\*\* Electronically Filed \*\*\* Angelica Mejia Filing ID 618238 6/16/2010 1:58:40 PM

1	DOYLE LAW GROUP			
2	5010 East Shea Blvd., Suite A-106 Scottsdale, Arizona 85254			
	Telephone: 602-494-0556			
3	Facsimile: 602-494-0621			
4	John C. Doyle, Esq. (Bar No. 010602)			
5	Jonathan L. Sullivan, Esq. (Bar No. 026619) Attorneys for Plaintiffs			
6				
7	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MARICOPA			
	IN AND FOR THE COUNT FOF MARICOLA			
8	REBECCA BEASLEY, individually as the) surviving spouse of ORVILLE THOMAS)CASE NO. CV2010-050624			
9	BEASLEY III, and as personal representative)			
10	of the ESTATE OF ORVILLE THOMAS) PLAINTIFFS' REQUEST FOR STATUS			
11	BEASLEY III; and ORVILLE THOMAS) CONFERENCE II and ANNA ELIZABETH BEASLEY,)			
12	husband and wife, and parents of ORVILLE) (Tort: Non-Motor Vehicle)			
13	THOMAS BEASLEY III. )   Plaintiffs, )			
	v. ) (Assigned to the Honorable Linda Miles)			
14	) JOHN C. STUART and JANE DOE )			
15	STUART, a married couple; JOHN and			
16	JANE DOES I-V; BLACK & WHITE ) CORPORATIONS VI-X; and ABC )			
17	PARTNERSHIPS XI-XV; )			
18	) Defendants.			
19	)			
	Plaintiffs Rebecca Beasley, the Estate of Orville Thomas Beasley III, and Orville Thomas II			
20	and Anna Elizabeth Beasley, by and through undersigned counsel, request the Court to hold a			
21	status conference in this matter in order to clarify the record on d the Dertica' record whilities			
22	status conference in this matter in order to clarify the record and the Parties' responsibilities.			
23	This case was filed on January 13, 2010. Defendant filed an "Answer" on March 31, 2010.			
24	Defendant's Answer provided a large amount of legal issues that put into doubt what Defendant			
25	was attempting to accomplish. Based on the confusing nature of Defendant's "Answer" Plaintiffs			
26	filed a Motion For Clarification. From Plaintiff's Motion for Clarification, several motions have			
	been filed. While the Parties' Motions have generally dealt with the issue of whether this Court has			

1	jurisdiction, Defendant has also interjected several other legal arguments in his pleadings. As a		
2	result, it is unclear what Defendant's Motions are attempting to accomplish or what legal		
3	arguments the Court is entertaining. Further, Defendant's Motions have become repetitive and		
4	confusing. Based on the above, Plaintiffs request that the Court set a status conference in this		
5	matter in order to clarify the record.		
6			
7	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 16 <sup>th</sup> day of June, 2010. <b>DOYLE LAW GROUP</b>		
8 9			
9 10	/s/Jonathan L. Sullivan		
11	John C. Doyle Jonathan L. Sullivan		
12	5010 E. Shea Blvd. Suite A 106		
13	Scottsdale, Arizona 85254 Attorneys for Plaintiffs		
14	<b>ORIGINAL</b> of the foregoing electronically		
15	filed this 16 <sup>th</sup> day of June, 2010 with:		
16	Clerk of Court Maricopa Superior Court		
17	Northeast Regional Center 18380 N. 40th Street Phoenix, AZ 85032 <b>COPY</b> of the foregoing distributed by electronic filing this 16 <sup>th</sup> day of June, 2010 to:		
18			
19			
20	Thing this to day of June, 2010 to.		
21	The Honorable Linda Miles		
22	Maricopa Superior Court Northeast Regional Center		
23 24	18380 N. 40th Street Phoenix, AZ 85032		
24 25	COPY of the foregoing mailed		
25 26	this 16 <sup>th</sup> day of June to:		
_ •	John Stuart, Pro per 10407 W. Trumbull Road Tolleson, Arizona 85353		

1	
	By: <u>/s/ Jonathan Sullivan</u>
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	